


A photograph of a meeting table with several people's hands pointing at documents. The table is white, and there are several sheets of paper with text on it. A smartphone is visible on the table. The background is slightly blurred, showing a person in a yellow shirt.

West London Waste Authority Draft Audit Planning Report

Year ended 31 March 2020

24 January 2020



Audit Committee
West London Waste Authority
Unit 6, Britannia Court, The Green
West Drayton
UB7 7PN

24 January 2020

Dear Audit Committee Members

Draft Audit Planning Report

Our planning is underway for 2019/20 and we are pleased to attach our Draft Audit Planning Report which sets out our initial consideration of how we intend to carry out our responsibilities as your auditor. Its purpose is to provide the Audit Committee with a basis to review our proposed audit approach and scope for the 2019/20 audit in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice, the Statement of Responsibilities issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA) Ltd, auditing standards and other professional requirements. It is also to ensure that our audit is aligned with the Committee's service expectations.

This plan summarises our initial assessment of the key risks driving the development of an effective audit for the Authority, and outlines our planned audit strategy in response to those risks.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Audit Committee and management, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss this draft report with you on 24 January 2020 as well as understand whether there are other matters which you consider may influence our audit,

Yours faithfully

Maria Grindley

For and on behalf of Ernst & Young LLP

Enc

Contents



In April 2015 Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) issued "Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies". It is available from the via the PSAA website (www.PSAA.co.uk). The Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas. The "Terms of Appointment (updated April 2018)" issued by the PSAA sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the National Audit Office Code of Audit Practice (the Code) and in legislation, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature. This report is made solely to the Audit Committee and management of West London Waste Authority in accordance with the statement of responsibilities. Our work has been undertaken so that we might state to the Audit Committee, and management of West London Waste Authority those matters we are required to state to them in this report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Audit Committee and management of West London Waste Authority for this report or for the opinions we have formed. It should not be provided to any third-party without our prior written consent.



01

Overview of our 2019/20 audit strategy



Overview of our 2019/20 audit strategy

The following 'dashboard' summarises the significant accounting and auditing matters outlined in this report. It seeks to provide the Audit Committee with an overview of our initial risk identification for the upcoming audit and any changes in risks identified in the current year.

Audit risks and areas of focus

Risk / area of focus	Risk identified	Change from PY	Details
Risk of misstatement of levy income through fraud or error	Fraud risk/ Significant risk	No change in risk or focus	We have considered the potential for error or deliberate manipulation of the waste tonnage data which underpins the income from levies.
Valuation of Property, Plant and Equipment	Significant risk	No change in risk	<p>During 2019/20, the Authority is proposing to undertake a full valuation of its Property, Plant and Equipment and material assets are expected to be brought into use including two lease sites and a new office space.</p> <p>At 31 March 2019, the asset values of £221 million represent a significant proportion of the Authority's balance sheet, with a risk that even a small fluctuation in value could have a material impact on the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement and on asset carrying values.</p>

Overview of our 2019/20 audit strategy

The following 'dashboard' summarises the significant accounting and auditing matters outlined in this report. It seeks to provide the Audit Committee with an overview of our initial risk identification for the upcoming audit and any changes in risks identified in the current year.

Audit risks and areas of focus

Risk / area of focus	Risk identified	Change from PY	Details
Pension liability valuation	Inherent risk	No change in risk	The Authority's pension fund deficit is a material estimated balance disclosed on the Authority's balance sheet. At 31 March 2020 this totalled £7.2 million. Accounting for this scheme involves significant estimation and judgement, management engages an actuary to undertake the calculations on their behalf. We will liaise with the auditors of the pension fund to gain assurance over the information supporting this balance.
PPP liability	Inherent risk	No change in risk	The Authority's PPP liability is a material liability which is calculated by a modeller into which the Authority inputs assumptions. The assumptions entered into the model are a form of management estimate.
IFRS 16	Area of audit focus	New focus	IFRS 16 - Leases comes into effect for Local Authority Accounts starting with 1 April 2020. At the time of our 2018/19 final audit work, the Authority had not conducted any preliminary work to position itself for the standard's impact. A detailed impact assessment will therefore need to be carried out for the necessary disclosures to be included in the 2019/20 accounts in accordance with IAS 8.

Overview of our 2019/20 audit strategy

Materiality

Planning
materiality

£1,176K

Planning materiality represents 2% of the prior year's gross expenditure on provision of services, consistent year on year.

Performance
materiality

£882K

Performance materiality represents 75% of planning materiality, consistent year on year.

Audit
differences

£59K

We will report all uncorrected misstatements relating to the primary statements (comprehensive income and expenditure statement, balance sheet, movement in reserves statement and cash flow statement) greater than £59,000, which is calculated as 5% of planning materiality. Other misstatements identified will be communicated to the extent that they merit the attention of the Audit Committee.

Overview of our 2019/20 audit strategy

Audit scope

This Audit Plan covers the work that we plan to perform to provide you with:

- Our audit opinion on whether the financial statements of West London Waste Authority give a true and fair view of the financial position as at 31 March 2020 and of the income and expenditure for the year then ended; and
- Our conclusion on the Authority's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

We will also review and report to the National Audit Office (NAO), to the extent and in the form required by them, on the Authority's Whole of Government Accounts return.

Our audit will also include the mandatory procedures that we are required to perform in accordance with applicable laws and auditing standards.

When planning the audit we take into account several key inputs:

- Strategic, operational and financial risks relevant to the financial statements;
- Developments in financial reporting and auditing standards;
- The quality of systems and processes;
- Changes in the business and regulatory environment; and,
- Management's views on all of the above.

By considering these inputs, our audit is focused on the areas that matter and our feedback is more likely to be relevant to the Authority.

Overview of our 2019/20 audit strategy

Audit team changes

Key changes to our team



Engagement Partner

Maria is your engagement partner. She will be responsible for signing your financial statements and lead and over see the audit quality and delivery.

Maria is an ICAEW qualified chartered accountant with over 30 years public sector experience.



Manager

Larisa is a manager and will lead the audit team. She will ensure that we deliver all of your external audit requirements in accordance with agreed schedules and co-ordinate our use of specialists in the audit to support the engagement team.

She is an ACCA qualified member with 4 years post qualification experience in a number of sectors.



02 Audit risks




Risk assessment

Risk assessment

We have obtained an understanding of your strategy, reviewed your principal risks as identified in your 2018/19 Statement of Accounts and combined it with our understanding of the sector to identify key risks that impact our audit.

The following 'dashboard' summarises the significant matters that are relevant for planning our year-end audit:



Audit risks

Our response to significant risks

We have set out the significant risks (including fraud risks denoted by*) identified for the current year audit along with the rationale and expected audit approach. The risks identified below may change to reflect any significant findings or subsequent issues we identify during the audit.

	What is the risk?	What will we do?
Risk of misstatement of Levy Income due to fraud or error *	We have considered the potential for error or deliberate manipulation of the waste tonnage data which could result in a misstatement of income from levies.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▶ Assess accounting estimates for evidence of management bias.▶ Perform cut off procedures around year end and link debtor testing with income testing.▶ Substantively test the population in accordance with the assessed risk covering tonnage data, including substantive analytical procedures to assess reasonableness of income recorded in the accounts.
Financial statement impact		
Misstatements that occur in relation to the income and expenditure accounts. In particular, we will consider: Levies on Constituent Councils (2018/19: £59,166k).		

Our response to significant risks (continued)

Valuation of Property, Plant and Equipment

Financial statement impact

Misstatements that occur in relation to valuation could affect the year end carrying value of Property, Plant and Equipment (31 March 2019: £220,972).

What is the risk?

During the year 2018/19, the Authority purchased the freehold for two sites (Victoria Road and Transport Avenue) that were previously leased, thus at 1 April 2019 the value of the leasehold assets was nil.

The Authority undertook a full valuation of assets in 2018/19 and is planning to perform the next valuation in five years' time. In the current year, the Authority is preparing a valuation model for adjusting the asset value using the depreciated replacement cost method. This is the first year such an approach will be used and this implies additional risks from a valuation perspective due to potential errors in the methodology.

Asset values are significant and there is a risk that even a small movement in valuation could have a material impact on the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement and on asset carrying values.

What will we do?

In order to address this risk we will carry out a range of procedures including:

- ▶ Assess accounting estimates for evidence of management bias;
- ▶ Assess the reasonableness of the Authority's valuation approach and assumptions and the completeness and accuracy of the source data used in the valuation models;
- ▶ Identify whether management has performed an appropriate impairment review and the results have been appropriately considered in the account balances and financial statements disclosures; and
- ▶ Ensure that appropriate and sufficient disclosures regarding the assets valuation are included in the financial statements

Audit risks

Other areas of audit focus and inherent risk

We have identified other areas of the audit, that have not been classified as significant risks, but are still important when considering the risks of material misstatement to the financial statements and disclosures.

What is the area of focus/ inherent risk?

What will we do?

Pension Liability Valuation

The Local Authority Accounting Code of Practice and IAS19 require the Authority to make extensive disclosures within its financial statements regarding its membership of the London Pensions Fund Authority (LPFA) Pension Fund.

The Authority's pension fund liability is a material estimated balance and the Code requires that this liability be disclosed on Authority's balance sheet. At 31 March 2019 this totalled £7.2 million.

The information disclosed is based on the IAS 19 report issued to the Authority by the actuary to the London Pensions Fund Authority. Accounting for this scheme involves significant estimation and judgement and therefore management engages an actuary to undertake the calculations on their behalf. ISAs (UK and Ireland) 500 and 540 require us to undertake procedures on the use of management experts and the assumptions underlying fair value estimates.

We will:

- ▶ Liaise with the auditors of the LPFA Pension Fund, to obtain assurances over the information supplied to the actuary in relation to the Authority;
- ▶ Assess the work of the Pension Fund actuaries, including the assumptions they have used by relying on the work of PWC - Consulting Actuaries commissioned by Public Sector Auditor Appointments for all Local Government sector auditors, and considering any relevant reviews by the EY actuarial team; and
- ▶ Review and test the accounting entries and disclosures made within the West London Waste Authority's financial statements in relation to IAS19.

Audit risks

Other areas of audit focus and inherent risk

We have identified other areas of the audit, that have not been classified as significant risks, but are still important when considering the risks of material misstatement to the financial statements and disclosures.

What is the area of focus/ inherent risk?

What will we do?

Public-Private Partnership (PPP)

The Authority has one PPP arrangement with the Suez consortium. This is a PPP for the construction of the Severn Energy Recovery Centre. A liability is recognised as project assets are completed, equal to the fair value of each asset less capital contributions. The total value of the liability was estimated to be £116.5 million as at 31 March 2019.

We will:

- ▶ include a review of the assumptions used in the PPP accounting model to assess whether there have been any changes since our initial review;
- ▶ comment on adjustments, if any, by the Authority; and
- ▶ review the planned entries and disclosures for the Authority's 2019/20 accounts and ensure that they reported in line with the standards.

Audit risks

Other areas of audit focus

We have identified other areas of the audit, that have not been classified as significant risks, but are still important when considering the risks of material misstatement to the financial statements and disclosures.

What is the risk/area of focus?

Implementation of new accounting standards

IFRS16 - Leases is applicable to local government accounts starting with 1 April 2020.

The objective of IFRS 16 is to report information that faithfully represents lease transactions and to provide a basis for users of financial statements to assess the amount, timing and uncertainty of cash flows arising from leases. To meet that objective, a lessee should recognise assets and liabilities arising from a lease.

The Authority will need to make disclosures in its 2019/20 accounts on its adoption of the requirements of IFRS 16 for financial years commencing 1 April 2020, if relevant. The new standard will eliminate the distinction between operating and finance leases for lessees and it is expected that significant work will be required by officers to identify all of the leases that it has in place at 1 April 2020. Readiness assessment is encouraged to prepare for the upcoming implementation.

What will we do?

- Assess the Authority's implementation arrangements, including its assessment of the expected impact of the standards on the Authority's accounts;
- Test material adjustments to accounting policies made as part of the implementation; and
- Ensure that transition disclosure requirements have been included in the financial statements under IAS 8, where applicable.



03

Value for Money Risks





Value for Money

Background

We are required to consider whether the Authority has put in place 'proper arrangements' to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness on its use of resources. This is known as our value for money conclusion.

For 2019/20 this is based on the overall evaluation criterion:

"In all significant respects, the audited body had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people"

Proper arrangements are defined by statutory guidance issued by the National Audit Office. They comprise your arrangements to:

- Take informed decisions;
- Deploy resources in a sustainable manner; and
- Work with partners and other third parties.


In considering your proper arrangements, we will draw on the requirements of the CIPFA/SOLACE framework for local government to ensure that our assessment is made against a framework that you are already required to have in place and to report on through documents such as your annual governance statement.

We are only required to determine whether there are any risks that we consider significant, which the Code of Audit Practice defines as:

"A matter is significant if, in the auditor's professional view, it is reasonable to conclude that the matter would be of interest to the audited body or the wider public"

Our risk assessment supports the planning of sufficient work to enable us to deliver a safe conclusion on arrangements to secure value for money and enables us to determine the nature and extent of further work that may be required. If we do not identify any significant risks there is no requirement to carry out further work. We consider business and operational risks insofar as they relate to proper arrangements at both sector and organisation-specific level. In 2019/20 this has included consideration of the steps taken by the Authority to consider the impact of Brexit on its future service provision, medium-term financing and investment values. Although the precise impact cannot yet be modelled, we anticipate that Authorities will be carrying out scenario planning and that Brexit and its impact will feature on operational risk registers.

Our risk assessment has therefore considered both the potential financial impact of the issues we have identified, and also the likelihood that the issue will be of interest to local taxpayers, the Government and other stakeholders. We have not identified any significant risks to our value for money conclusion.





04

Audit materiality



Materiality

Materiality

For 2019/20 planning purposes, we are using the prior year's final materiality, which was set at £1,176k. This represents 2% of the Authority's prior year gross operating expenses on provision of services. It will be reassessed throughout the audit process. The rationale for this is that the expectations of the users of the entity are focused on the measurement of expenses. We have provided supplemental information about audit materiality in Appendix D.



We request that the Audit Committee confirm its understanding of, and agreement to, these materiality and reporting levels.

Key definitions

Planning materiality - the amount over which we anticipate misstatements would influence the economic decisions of a user of the financial statements.

Audit difference threshold - we propose that misstatements identified below this threshold are deemed clearly trivial. We will report to you all uncorrected misstatements over this amount relating to the comprehensive income and expenditure statement, balance sheet, that have an effect on income or that relate to other comprehensive income.

Other uncorrected misstatements, such as reclassifications and misstatements in the cashflow statement and movement in reserves statement or disclosures, and corrected misstatements will be communicated to the extent that they merit the attention of the audit committee, or are important from a qualitative perspective.



05

Scope of our audit



Our Audit Process and Strategy

Objective and Scope of our Audit scoping

Under the Code of Audit Practice our principal objectives are to review and report on the Authority's financial statements and arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources to the extent required by the relevant legislation and the requirements of the Code.

We issue an audit report that covers:

1. Financial statement audit

Our objective is to form an opinion on the financial statements under International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland).

We also perform other procedures as required by auditing, ethical and independence standards, the Code and other regulations. We outline below the procedures we will undertake during the course of our audit.

Procedures required by standards

- Addressing the risk of fraud and error;
- Significant disclosures included in the financial statements;
- Entity-wide controls;
- Reading other information contained in the financial statements and reporting whether it is inconsistent with our understanding and the financial statements; and
- Auditor independence.

Procedures required by the Code

- Reviewing, and reporting on as appropriate, other information published with the financial statements, including the Annual Governance Statement; and
- Reviewing and reporting on the Whole of Government Accounts return, in line with the instructions issued by the NAO

2. Arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness (value for money)

We are required to consider whether the Authority has put in place 'proper arrangements' to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness on its use of resources.

Our Audit Process and Strategy (continued)

Audit Process Overview

Our audit involves:

- ▶ Identifying and understanding the key processes and internal controls; and
- ▶ Substantively testing transactions and amounts.

For 2019/20 we plan to follow a substantive approach to the audit as we have concluded this is the most efficient way to obtain the level of audit assurance required to conclude that the financial statements are not materially misstated.

Analytics:

We will use our computer-based analytics tools to enable us to capture whole populations of your financial data, in particular journal entries. These tools:

- ▶ Help identify specific exceptions and anomalies which can then be subject to more traditional substantive audit tests; and
- ▶ Give greater likelihood of identifying errors than random sampling techniques.

We will report the findings from our process and analytics work, including any significant weaknesses or inefficiencies identified and recommendations for improvement, to management and the Audit Committee.

Internal audit:

We will regularly meet with the Head of Internal Audit, and review internal audit plans and the results of their work. We will reflect the findings from these reports, together with reports from any other work completed in the year, in our detailed audit plan, where they raise issues that could have an impact on the financial statements.




06

Audit team



Audit team

Audit team structure:



Working together with the Authority

We are working together with officers to identify continuing improvements in communication and processes for the 2019/20 audit.

We will continue to keep our audit approach under review to streamline it where possible.

* Key Audit Partner

Audit team

Use of specialists

When auditing key judgements, we are often required to rely on the input and advice provided by specialists who have qualifications and expertise not possessed by the core audit team. The areas where either EY or third party specialists provide input for the current year audit are:

Area	Specialists
Valuation of Land and Buildings	EY Valuations Team - Scope to be determined once planning completed.
Pensions disclosure	EY Actuaries and PWC Actuaries

In accordance with Auditing Standards, we will evaluate each specialist's professional competence and objectivity, considering their qualifications, experience and available resources, together with the independence of the individuals performing the work.

We also consider the work performed by the specialist in light of our knowledge of the Authority's business and processes and our assessment of audit risk in the particular area. For example, we would typically perform the following procedures:

- ▶ Analyse source data and make inquiries as to the procedures used by the specialist to establish whether the source data is relevant and reliable;
- ▶ Assess the reasonableness of the assumptions and methods used;
- ▶ Consider the appropriateness of the timing of when the specialist carried out the work; and
- ▶ Assess whether the substance of the specialist's findings are properly reflected in the financial statements.



07

Audit timeline





Audit timeline

Timetable of communication and deliverables

Timeline

Below is a timetable showing the key stages of the audit and the deliverables we have agreed to provide to you through the audit cycle in 2019/20.

From time to time matters may arise that require immediate communication with the Audit Committee and we will discuss them with the Audit Committee Chair as appropriate. We will also provide updates on corporate governance and regulatory matters as necessary.

Audit phase	Timetable	Audit committee timetable	Deliverables
Planning: Risk assessment and setting of scopes.	January - February		
Draft Audit Planning Report presented to the Audit Committee	24 January 2020	Audit Committee	Draft Audit Planning Report
Walkthrough of key systems and processes	27 - 31 January 2020		
Interim audit testing	3 - 7 February 2020		
Year end audit: Account testing	4 - 22 May 2020	Audit Committee	Progress report setting out any changes to the audit planning and approach if applicable.
Year end audit: Audit Completion procedures	29 June 2020	Audit Committee and Authority meeting	Audit Results Report Audit opinions and completion certificates
Completion	July 2020	Audit Committee	Annual Audit Letter



08

Independence



Introduction

The FRC Ethical Standard and ISA (UK) 260 “Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance”, requires us to communicate with you on a timely basis on all significant facts and matters that bear upon our integrity, objectivity and independence. The Ethical Standard, as revised in June 2016, requires that we communicate formally both at the planning stage and at the conclusion of the audit, as well as during the course of the audit if appropriate. The aim of these communications is to ensure full and fair disclosure by us to those charged with your governance on matters in which you have an interest.

Required communications

Planning stage	Final stage
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The principal threats, if any, to objectivity and independence identified by Ernst & Young (EY) including consideration of all relationships between you, your affiliates and directors and us; ▶ The safeguards adopted and the reasons why they are considered to be effective, including any Engagement Quality review; ▶ The overall assessment of threats and safeguards; ▶ Information about the general policies and process within EY to maintain objectivity and independence. ▶ Where EY has determined it is appropriate to apply more restrictive independence rules than permitted under the Ethical Standard. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ In order for you to assess the integrity, objectivity and independence of the firm and each covered person, we are required to provide a written disclosure of relationships (including the provision of non-audit services) that may bear on our integrity, objectivity and independence. This is required to have regard to relationships with the entity, its directors and senior management, its affiliates, and its connected parties and the threats to integrity or objectivity, including those that could compromise independence that these create. We are also required to disclose any safeguards that we have put in place and why they address such threats, together with any other information necessary to enable our objectivity and independence to be assessed; ▶ Details of non-audit services provided and the fees charged in relation thereto; ▶ Written confirmation that the firm and each covered person is independent and, if applicable, that any non-EY firms used in the group audit or external experts used have confirmed their independence to us; ▶ Written confirmation that all covered persons are independent; ▶ Details of any inconsistencies between FRC Ethical Standard and your policy for the supply of non-audit services by EY and any apparent breach of that policy; ▶ Details of any contingent fee arrangements for non-audit services provided by us or our network firms; and ▶ An opportunity to discuss auditor independence issues.

In addition, during the course of the audit, we are required to communicate with you whenever any significant judgements are made about threats to objectivity and independence and the appropriateness of safeguards put in place, for example, when accepting an engagement to provide non-audit services.

We also provide information on any contingent fee arrangements, the amounts of any future services that have been contracted, and details of any written proposal to provide non-audit services that has been submitted;

We ensure that the total amount of fees that EY and our network firms have charged to you and your affiliates for the provision of services during the reporting period, analysed in appropriate categories, are disclosed.

Relationships, services and related threats and safeguards

We highlight the following significant facts and matters that may be reasonably considered to bear upon our objectivity and independence, including the principal threats, if any. We have adopted the safeguards noted below to mitigate these threats along with the reasons why they are considered to be effective. However we will only perform non-audit services if the service has been pre-approved in accordance with your policy.

Overall Assessment

Overall, we consider that the safeguards that have been adopted appropriately mitigate the principal threats identified and we therefore confirm that EY is independent and the objectivity and independence of Maria Grindley, your audit engagement partner, and the audit engagement team have not been compromised.

Self interest threats

A self interest threat arises when EY has financial or other interests in the Authority. Examples include where we receive significant fees in respect of non-audit services; where we need to recover long outstanding fees; or where we enter into a business relationship with you. At the time of writing, there are no long outstanding fees. We believe that it is appropriate for us to undertake permissible non-audit services and we will comply with the policies that you have approved.

None of the services are prohibited under the FRC's ES or the National Audit Office's Auditor Guidance Note 01 and the services have been approved in accordance with your policy on pre-approval. The ratio of non-audit fees to audit fees is not permitted to exceed 70%.

At the time of writing, we receive no non-audit fees. No additional safeguards are required.

A self interest threat may also arise if members of our audit engagement team have objectives or are rewarded in relation to sales of non-audit services to you. We confirm that no member of our audit engagement team, including those from other service lines, has objectives or is rewarded in relation to sales to you, in compliance with Ethical Standard part 4.

There are no other self interest threats at the date of this report.

Relationships, services and related threats and safeguards

Other threats

Other threats, such as advocacy, familiarity or intimidation, may arise.
There are no other threats at the date of this report.

Management threats

Partners and employees of EY are prohibited from taking decisions on behalf of management of the Authority. Management threats may also arise during the provision of a non-audit service in relation to which management is required to make judgements or decision based on that work.
There are no management threats at the date of this report.

Self review threats

Self review threats arise when the results of a non-audit service performed by EY or others within the EY network are reflected in the amounts included or disclosed in the financial statements.
There are no self review threats at the date of this report.

Other communications

EY Transparency Report 2019

Ernst & Young (EY) has policies and procedures that instil professional values as part of firm culture and ensure that the highest standards of objectivity, independence and integrity are maintained.

Details of the key policies and processes in place within EY for maintaining objectivity and independence can be found in our annual Transparency Report which the firm is required to publish by law. The most recent version of this Report is for the year ended 1 July 2019 and can be found here:

https://www.ey.com/en_uk/who-we-are/transparency-report-2019



09

Appendices



Appendix A

Fees

Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) has published the fee scale for the audit of the 2019/20 accounts of opted-in principal local government and police bodies. This is defined as the fee required by auditors to meet statutory responsibilities under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in accordance with the requirements of the Code of Audit Practice and supporting guidance published by the National Audit Office, the financial reporting requirements set out in the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting published by CIPFA/LASAAC, and the professional standards applicable to auditors' work.

A breakdown of our fees is shown in the table below.

	2019/20	2018/19
	£'s	£'s
Base fee (Note 1)	15,223	15,223
Scale fee variation (Note 2)	TBD	3,600
Total audit	TBD	18,823

All fees exclude VAT

Note 1 - Our 2019/20 fee may well be higher than the planned fee, dependent on the level of work required in relation to the significant risk associated with the valuation of PPE and any other unforeseen issues encountered during the audit. We will assess this as part of our interim audit and discuss the potential impact on the fee with officers.

Note 2 - During the course of our audit, additional work might be required in order to carry out our duties. This work would not have been factored into the core fee and it might result in scale fee variations. In the year 2018/19, the scale fee variation was in relation to PPE valuations and Agresso migration.

The agreed fee presented is based on the following assumptions:

- ▶ Officers meeting the agreed timetable of deliverables;
- ▶ Our accounts opinion and value for money conclusion being unqualified;
- ▶ Appropriate quality of documentation is provided by the Authority; and
- ▶ The Authority has an effective control environment.




If any of the above assumptions prove to be unfounded, we will seek a variation to the agreed fee. This will be discussed with the Council in advance.

Fees for the auditor's consideration of correspondence from the public and formal objections will be charged in addition to the scale fee.

Appendix B




Required communications with the Audit Committee

We have detailed the communications that we must provide to the Audit Committee.

			 Our Reporting to you
Required communications	 What is reported?	 When and where	
Terms of engagement	Confirmation by the Audit Committee of acceptance of terms of engagement as written in the engagement letter signed by both parties.	The statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between the PSAA's appointed auditors and audited bodies.	
Our responsibilities	Reminder of our responsibilities as set out in the engagement letter	The statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between the PSAA's appointed auditors and audited bodies.	
Planning and audit approach	Communication of the planned scope and timing of the audit, any limitations and the significant risks identified. When communicating key audit matters this includes the most significant risks of material misstatement (whether or not due to fraud) including those that have the greatest effect on the overall audit strategy, the allocation of resources in the audit and directing the efforts of the engagement team	Draft Audit Planning Report	
Significant findings from the audit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Our view about the significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures ▶ Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit ▶ Significant matters, if any, arising from the audit that were discussed with management ▶ Written representations that we are seeking ▶ Expected modifications to the audit report ▶ Other matters if any, significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process 	Audit results report	





Appendix B

Required communications with the Audit Committee (continued)

			 Our Reporting to you
Required communications	 What is reported?	 When and where	
Going concern	<p>Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty ▶ Whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements ▶ The adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements 	Audit results report	
Misstatements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Uncorrected misstatements and their effect on our audit opinion, unless prohibited by law or regulation ▶ The effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods ▶ A request that any uncorrected misstatement be corrected ▶ Corrected misstatements that are significant ▶ Material misstatements corrected by management 	Audit results report	
Fraud	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Enquiries of the Audit Committee to determine whether they have knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting the entity ▶ Any fraud that we have identified or information we have obtained that indicates that a fraud may exist ▶ A discussion of any other matters related to fraud 	Audit results report	
Related parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Significant matters arising during the audit in connection with the entity's related parties including, when applicable: ▶ Non-disclosure by management ▶ Inappropriate authorisation and approval of transactions ▶ Disagreement over disclosures ▶ Non-compliance with laws and regulations ▶ Difficulty in identifying the party that ultimately controls the entity 	Audit results report	





Appendix B

Required communications with the Audit Committee (continued)

 Our Reporting to you		
Required communications	 What is reported?	  When and where
Independence	<p>Communication of all significant facts and matters that bear on EY's, and all individuals involved in the audit, objectivity and independence</p> <p>Communication of key elements of the audit engagement partner's consideration of independence and objectivity such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The principal threats ▶ Safeguards adopted and their effectiveness ▶ An overall assessment of threats and safeguards ▶ Information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain objectivity and independence 	Draft Audit Planning Report and Audit Results Report
External confirmations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Management's refusal for us to request confirmations ▶ Inability to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from other procedures 	Audit results report
Consideration of laws and regulations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Audit findings regarding non-compliance where the non-compliance is material and believed to be intentional. This communication is subject to compliance with legislation on tipping off ▶ Enquiry of the Audit Committee into possible instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements and that the Audit Committee may be aware of 	Audit results report
Internal controls	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit 	Management letter/audit results report

Appendix B

Required communications with the Audit Committee (continued)

			 Our Reporting to you
Required communications	 What is reported?	  When and where	
Representations	Written representations we are requesting from management and/or those charged with governance	Audit results report	
Material inconsistencies and misstatements	Material inconsistencies or misstatements of fact identified in other information which management has refused to revise	Audit results report	
Auditors report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Key audit matters that we will include in our auditor's report ▶ Any circumstances identified that affect the form and content of our auditor's report 	Audit results report	
Fee Reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Breakdown of fee information when the audit plan is agreed ▶ Breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit ▶ Any non-audit work 	Draft Audit Planning Report and Audit results report	

Appendix C

Additional audit information

Other required procedures during the course of the audit

In addition to the key areas of audit focus outlined in section 2, we have to perform other procedures as required by auditing, ethical and independence standards and other regulations. We outline the procedures below that we will undertake during the course of our audit.

Our responsibilities required by auditing standards

- ▶ Identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.
- ▶ Obtaining an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control.
- ▶ Evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management.
- ▶ Concluding on the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting.
- ▶ Evaluating the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.
- ▶ Obtaining sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial information of the entities or activities within the Authority to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements. Reading other information contained in the financial statements, the Audit Committee reporting appropriately addresses matters communicated by us to the Audit Committee and reporting whether it is materially inconsistent with our understanding and the financial statements; and
- ▶ Maintaining auditor independence.

Additional audit information (continued)

Purpose and evaluation of materiality

For the purposes of determining whether the accounts are free from material error, we define materiality as the magnitude of an omission or misstatement that, individually or in the aggregate, in light of the surrounding circumstances, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of the users of the financial statements. Our evaluation of it requires professional judgement and necessarily takes into account qualitative as well as quantitative considerations implicit in the definition. We would be happy to discuss with you your expectations regarding our detection of misstatements in the financial statements.

Materiality determines:

- ▶ The locations at which we conduct audit procedures to support the opinion given on the financial statements; and
- ▶ The level of work performed on individual account balances and financial statement disclosures.

The amount we consider material at the end of the audit may differ from our initial determination. At this stage, however, it is not feasible to anticipate all of the circumstances that may ultimately influence our judgement about materiality. At the end of the audit we will form our final opinion by reference to all matters that could be significant to users of the accounts, including the total effect of the audit misstatements we identify, and our evaluation of materiality at that date.